Primary malignant tumors frequently metastasize to bone, third in frequency after liver and lung.[4] Breast, lung, prostate, and kidney cancers have been the most common malignancies with secondary spine involvement.[5] Breast and prostate cancers have particular clinical interest because of the prevalence of these diseases, with about 70% of the patients dying of these cancers having evidence of metastatic bone disease.[5] Bone metastases are also seen in carcinomas of the thyroid, kidney, and bronchus with an incidence of 30-40% at postmortem examination.[5] However, tumors of the gastrointestinal tract rarely (<10%) metastasize to the bone.[5]
More than 95% of spinal metastases are extradural lesions. Most of the remaining lesions are intradural extramedullary tumors, while intramedullary metastases are rare, comprising about 0.5% of the spinal metastases.

Metastatic carcinoma has a predilection for the well vascularized cancellous bone of the vertebral body in the spine; the neural arch is less frequently involved. Metastases occur most frequently in the lumbar spine followed by the thoracic and then cervical spine. Due to the smaller space available for the spinal cord, thoracic lesions are more often symptomatic (70%), followed by lumbar (20%), and cervical lesions (10%).

Spinal metastases involve vertebral bodies (80%) rather than posterior elements. Pain is the initial symptom in most of the patients. It is usually local but sometimes radicular, radiating in the distribution of the nerve root of the involved level. Neurologic compromise in the form of weakness, sensory loss, and sphincter disturbance usually occurs after the onset of pain. Sensory or motor deficits are present in 38-76% of the patients and half of the patients are nonambulatory at the time of diagnosis. The natural history of spinal metastasis is progression to complete irreversible paraplegia if immediate treatment is not undertaken.

We report an unusual case of solitary spinous process metastasis of rectal adenocarcinoma.

CASE REPORT

A 45-year-old man suffering from vague low back pain for three months was admitted to the hospital. His neurological examination was completely normal. He was diagnosed with adenocarcinoma of the rectum three years ago, which was surgically treated with low anterior resection and radiotherapy. Later he developed cerebellar (10 months ago) and lung metastasis (4 months ago). The cerebellar lesion was excised. There was disseminated infiltration in the lung that was not suitable for surgical excision. He was still receiving chemotherapy when he was admitted. His CEA (carcinoembryonic antigen) level was 12.59 ng/ml (normal: 0-3 ng/ml), CA (carbohydrate antigen) 19-9 level was 101.95 IU/ml (0-37 IU/ml). Plain radiography of the lumbar vertebrae was nonspecific. His F-18 sodium fluoride (F-18 NaF) positron emission tomography (PET) done three weeks earlier showed no abnormalities other than the primary surgical site. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the lumbar spine revealed a 24 x 21 mm lesion on the tip of the L4 spinous process. The lesion had low signal intensity on T1, and high signal intensity on T2 and could be seen homogeneously with high signal intensity on contrast enhanced images (Figure 1). Due to anatomic location and size of the lesion open excisional biopsy of the L4 spinous process was performed instead of needle biopsy. Histologic examination revealed mucinous adenocarcinoma (Figure 2). Tokuhashi prognostic score was 11, Tomita prognostic score was 6. The surgical staging was 1, 12 A, B according to Weinstein, Boriani, Biagini (WBB).[13-15] Technetium-99m (Tc99m) bone scan was nonspecific, showing increased uptake in previously operated sites. There was no low back pain at two months follow-up. Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 and CEA levels were 60.37 IU/ml and 12.93 ng/ml respectively.

DISCUSSION

Back pain is frequent in patients with cancer. The posterior half of the vertebral body is usually involved first, followed by the anterior body, lamina, and pedicles. This is believed to occur by means of two mechanisms: via arterial emboli to the abundant bone marrow of the vertebral bodies and subsequently into the anterior or posterior extradural space thorough venous channels, or via retrograde spread through the valveless extradural Batson’s venous plexus.

Anteroposterior and lateral plain radiographs of the spine demonstrate abnormal findings in up to 90% of the patients with symptomatic spinal metastasis. In order to diagnose a metastatic cancer in trabecular bone,
30-50% bone destruction is required. Scintigraphy, despite its limited accuracy is a good screening tool and can be systematically performed. Radionuclide bone scanning using technetium-labeled polyphosphonates was shown to detect bone metastasis several months earlier than plain radiographs. However planar bone scan is less sensitive than MRI in detecting bone metastasis, almost 50% of its results are false negative and it does not accurately distinguish between pathologic and nonpathologic fractures. F-18 NaF PET is more accurate in detecting bone metastases than is planar bone scintigraphy, but it is costly and not readily available.

F-18 NaF PET scan failed to reveal the tumor in the spinal process in our patient, and the lesion could be seen only on MRI. It is very unlikely for an adenocarcinoma metastasis to reach the size of 24 x 21 mm in three weeks, so it can be considered as a false negative result. This is consistent with the literature suggesting contrast enhanced MRI of the entire spine is the investigation of choice in patients with known malignancy and suspected spinal disease.

Major surgical procedures should be considered only for patients who are expected to survive more than three months. The patient’s life expectancy, overall tumor load, quality of life and other treatment options should be assessed before surgical planning.

The presented case is very uncommon in terms of its unique localization. We could not find any solitary spinous process metastasis reported in the English literature. Cancer patients especially if they have prior known metastases should be carefully investigated thoroughly for spinal column involvement even with a vague low back pain.
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