What is the role of the conservative intervention in the treatment of a torn anterior cruciate ligament?

Ön çapraz bağ yırtılmasının tedavisinde konservatif girişimin rolü nedir?

O. Şahap Atik, MD
Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Medical Faculty of Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey

ABSTRACT
Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries are common and the economic burden of these injuries is high. However, the optimal management of a torn ACL of the knee is still controversial. Anterior cruciate ligament surgery is not suitable for all patients; there are some indications for non-surgical treatment with a strict neuromuscular rehabilitation program in selected patients. Neuromuscular rehabilitation is also necessary prior to ACL reconstruction. This is crucial for the primary and secondary prevention, too.
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Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries are common and the economic burden of these injuries is high.\[1,2\] However, the optimal management of a torn ACL of the knee is still controversial.\[3\]

Furthermore, there is still controversy in regards to the number of bundles. It is widely accepted that the human ACL is composed of two bundles: the anteromedial (AM) and posterolateral (PL). On the other hand, there are recent reports demonstrating a third bundle intermediate (IM), or up to 10 bundles.\[4,5\]

This fact may be one of the reasons which the double bundle technique compared to the single bundle is not more effective in preventing osteoarthritis and does not produce a more favorable failure rate.\[6\]

Another fact is that dynamic knee stability is not affected by only passive (ligamentous) joint restraints, but also by active (neuromuscular) joint restraints. Neuromuscular control deficit during dynamic movements has been hypothesized to be the primary cause for both primary and secondary ACL injuries (re-injury following ACL reconstruction), creating excessive joint loads and leading to detrimental ACL stress/strains and failure, eventually.\[7\]

Moreover, some patients may have the potential to heal their ACL rupture without need of surgery. For instance, in a recent study, the spontaneous healing of the complete ACL rupture persisted in 12 patients without using an extension brace and they were able to continue with their athletic activity.\[8\]

Reconstruction of the ACL is not a prerequisite for restoring the muscle function; however, restoring muscle function is a prerequisite for conservative or surgical treatment of the torn ACL.\[9,10\]
In a prospective, longitudinal study of nonreconstructed patients, activity level and subjective knee function 15 years after ACL injury were within acceptable limits with neuromuscular rehabilitation plus lifestyle changes.[11] In the majority of nonreconstructed patients, early activity modification and neuromuscular rehabilitation resulted in a good knee function and an acceptable activity level. Reduced activity level of the patients engaged in contact sports at the time of injury affected their quality of life more than those involving in noncontact sports.[11]

Another prospective cohort study for the prevalence of tibiofemoral osteoarthritis 15 years after non-surgical treatment of ACL injury had a favorable long-term outcome regarding incidence of radiographic knee OA, knee function and symptoms, and need for ACL reconstruction.[12] Early activity modification and neuromuscular knee rehabilitation appeared to be related to the low prevalence of radiographic knee OA. The authors concluded that initial treatment without ACL reconstruction should be considered in patients with ACL injury with moderate activity level to avoid possible re-injuries.[12]

Frobell et al.[10] reported that in young and active adults with acute ACL tears, a strategy of rehabilitation plus early ACL reconstruction was not superior to a strategy of rehabilitation plus optional delayed ACL reconstruction. The latter strategy substantially reduced the frequency of surgical reconstructions.[10] Anterior cruciate ligament-injured patients following a non-surgical treatment course, including recommendations of activity modifications, and operatively treated patients did not have significantly different rates of returning to pivoting sports after one year in another pair-matched cohort study.[13]

Conclusion

As suggested by Lars Engebretsen, “ACL surgery is not suitable for all patients, nor for all surgeons. Thus, we need to use the knowledge we have and implement the primary and secondary prevention programs”.[14]

Although the majority of ACL reconstruction surgeries can successfully restore the mechanical stability of the injured knee, postsurgical outcomes remain widely varied. Less than half of athletes undergoing ACL reconstruction return to sport within the first year after surgery, while approximately 1 in 4 to 1 in 5 young and active athletes undergoing ACL reconstruction will go on to a second knee injury.[15] Reduced neuromuscular control and high-risk movement biomechanics, which appear to be heavily influenced by abnormal trunk and lower limb movement patterns, not only predict the first knee injury risk, but also predict the re-injury risk.

In conclusion;

• There are some indications for non-surgical treatment with a strict neuromuscular rehabilitation program.
• Neuromuscular rehabilitation is also necessary prior to ACL reconstruction.
• This is crucial for the primary and secondary prevention, too.
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